(3)

Court, U.S.
FILED
MAR 10 1989
JOSEPH F. SPANIOL, JR.
CLERK

CASE NO. 88-1202

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1989

Ivan P. Leidy

PETITIONER

V.

JOHN Q. STRANAHAN

FRANCIS J. FORNELLI

SHARON HERALD NEWSPAPER CO.
et al,

RESPONDENTS

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

BRIEF OF PETITIONER IVAN P. LEIDY, PRO-SE

Ivan P. Leidy
478 Nimick St.
Sharon Pa. 16146
(412) 346-3637

7 pp

QUESTION PRESENTED

If my complaint was good enough for the Honorable Judge Maurice B. Cohill to deny counsel for the Respondents on the 9th day of November 1987 to dismiss my complaint. QUESTION, How do you file an amended complant to a complaint that has been already accepted by the Court.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTION PRESENTED ---------- I

TABLE OF CONTENTS ---------- 2

TABLE OF AUTHOPITIES ---------- 3

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION ---------- 4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE ---------- 5

ARGUMENT,

The evidence that was filed in the original complaint was good enough to prove everything that I complained of. It was good enough for the Judge to deny a dismissal of my complaint. That is why I filed an appeal,

CONCLUSION ---------- 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ----------6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

To the best of my knowledge I have never read or heard of a case of this kind. I feel that it would be next to impossible to do a reseach on this case.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

ARTICILES I, VI, VII, XII, XIV _____

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

28 U.S. C. I33I and I343
(Civil Rights Protection)

SUPREME COURT RULES

RULE _____ ___I3(4)

CASE NO. 88-I202 and 88-304I Both of these cases were filed because they violated my Constitutional and Civil Rights.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I Ivan P. Leidy filed these complaints in the United States District Court for the Southwestern District of Pennsylvania. I was refused legal aid by five different law firms. That is why I filed these complaints against the respondents. The only reason why the judges dismissed my complaints was that they knew that the counsel for the respondents could not beat me in court.

I belive that my Constitutional Rights were violated and I will fight to the end.

ARGUMENT

I would like to do this in front of the Supreme Court Members.

CONCLUSION

After have read the MEMORADUM ORDER over three times. I have (ONE) to the conclusion that the Clerk of Courts after reading my complaint knows right from wrong. I can!t thank the clerk enough for filing my complaint for me. If everybody did as good of a job as the clerk did our courts would be in good shape. The No. for the memorandum order that I am referring to is 87-I427.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Brief of Petitioner, Ivan P. Leidy was duly served by regular U. S.mail, postage prepaid, IO day March, I989 to; Stephen T. Bolton IIOO Bank One Building. Youngstown Ohio, 44503, William C. Kuhn 7 West State St. Sharon PA.I6I46, and Howard M. Holmes Suite I4I4, 5I5 Market St. Philadelphia, Pa. I9I02.

Ivan P. Leidy PRO-SE
478 Nimick St.
Sharon Pa. I6I46

(4I2) 346-3637

Ivan P Leidy